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Liberalization and regulation of railway markets – new challenges (From the Editor-in-Chief)

Articles

Łukasz Ziarko, Single European Railway Area – creating a competitive structure in the EU railway sector
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   2. Charges for access to railway infrastructure
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IV. Summary

Summary: This paper presents selected provisions of the Directive of the European Parliament and the Council 2012/34/UE establishing a Single European Railway Area, crucial for the creation and promotion of the development of competition in the railway sector. The paper outlines the key changes introduced by the new legislation as opposed to the repealed Directives. This article attempts to answer the question to what an extent does the so-called recast of the first railway package increase the chances of achieving the expected results.
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Radosław Pacewicz, Railway service infrastructure in Poland and in Europe – current problems of infrastructure access and changes resulting from the duty to implement Directive 34/12
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Summary: The paper presents a number of problems associated with the lack of or shortcomings of current legislation that defines the notion and scope of service infrastructure access in Poland and other EU Member States. It is these problems that have largely contributed to the introduction of the changes to be made by the implementation of the provisions of Directive 2012/34.
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Tomasz Banaszczyk, Marcin Król, How to best use the potential of vertical separation in Polish railway transport?
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   3. regulatory tools
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Summary: The first part of the article presents arguments behind the government’s decision to enforce vertical separation as an element of liberalization aimed at infrastructure sectors. The second part focuses on the actual implementation process of the Polish railway transport reform. A list of urgent and relatively easy to implement actions it put forth in the concluding remarks, which would enable the exploitation of the competitive potential stemming from vertical separation to a far greater extent than is currently the case.
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Filip Dopierała, Competences of the railway regulator and the freedom of contract between the infrastructure manager and railway operators
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Summary: The goal of this article is to demonstrate, from the infrastructure manager’s perspective, the influence that the Polish railway regulator has on the business relations between the infrastructure manager and railway undertakings with respect to granting access to railway infrastructure. The ORT President currently follows the concept of ‘wide interference’ into the contractual relationships of these parties – the regulator believes to be entitled to arbitrary shape the provisions of decisions replacing a railway infrastructure access contract. By contrast, the PKP PLK states that the market regulator has limited powers only in this matter and should refrain from exercising an excessive influence on the obligations of the parties. The main aim of this paper is to present a theoretical
and practical overview of the problem, and to prove that only the ‘narrow interference’ concept is legally justified.
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Stefan Akira Jarecki, *Opening the market for domestic rail passenger transport services to competition. Rules of access to infrastructure for commercial and public services*
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IV. Conclusions

**Summary:** Transport is fundamental to EU economy and society. Mobility is vital for the internal market and for the quality of life of its citizens. Transport enables economic growth and job creation. According to EU transport policy, the majority of medium-distance passenger transport should be based on railways by 2050. However, rail markets are currently facing stagnation or decline in many EU Member States. Faced with this problem, the European Commission proposed in January 2013 far reaching measures to encourage more innovation in European railways by opening domestic passenger markets to competition. The Commission proposed to amend EC Regulation 1370/2007 on public service in order to make the award of public service contracts for rail competitive on a mandatory basis. It also proposed to amend Directive 2012/34/EU meant to ensure open access to infrastructure in order to provide domestic passenger services. However, opening up domestic passenger markets to competition may have implications for the organisation and financing of rail passenger services provided under a public service contract. According to the Commission’s proposals, Member States will thus have the possibility to limit access rights for the purpose of operating domestic services if the exercise of such rights would compromise the economic equilibrium (viability) of a public service contract. Rules of access to infrastructure for commercial and for public services will therefore be different. The main aim of this article is to analyze the mechanism proposed by the European Commission in order to protect the economic equilibrium of public services. The paper will also compare the proposed EU mechanism with models already in use in certain Member States, namely Great Britain and Poland. In conclusion,
changes to the drafts presented by the European Commission will be proposed on the basis of the above analysis.
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Michał Zajfert, *Access to rolling stock as the key barrier for market development of rail passenger services in Poland*
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**Summary:** Competition in rail-freight transport is developing rapidly in Poland. The same cannot be said about passenger transport, both short and long-distance, where improvements are far more limited because of problems with the organisation of passenger transport by local authorities and with the creation of new railway operators. Although positive examples do exist, most of the implemented solutions in use consolidate the monopoly of public services, eliminate competition and thus makes it impossible to introduce the optimal solution for society.

Creating municipal providers is a better model than a national railway company but in truth, this option will end up creating local monopolies. Competitive tendering (competition for the market) is the best solution here but Poland battles with a major entry barrier – the lack of necessary rolling stock. Surplus rolling stock belonging to the state railway companies of the PKP group should be made available to other railway companies. Similarly, county councils (the voivodeships) should be able to control the rolling stock of the national regional rail operator (Przewozy Regionalne PKP), which they now own.
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Summary: Introducing competition into the Polish rail market remains one of its most widely discussed topics because the market liberalisation process has not been completely efficient. One may enumerate various reasons for such an outcome. These include: the improper restructuring of the national incumbent rail operator (Polskie Koleje Państwowe), barriers to infrastructure access and insufficient powers of the regulatory authority. The above mentioned issues are discussed throughout the paper. The rail freight market, as a strategic sector for the national economy, is analysed in details.
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Summary: The 10th anniversary of the Polish Rail Transport Act of 28th March 2003 gives an opportunity to make some remarks on the notion and scope of rail transport law in Poland, including the analysis of the subject and scope of its provisions. For this purpose, the paper
contains a detailed analysis of the roots of rail transport legislation, both at the domestic (Polish) law level as well as the EU and the international level. The article makes an attempt to delimitate the boundaries of rail transport law and to define the character of its norms. Finally, it outlines the specific conditions of its validity and enforcement.
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**Summary:** Recent years have shown an increase in the activities of the European Commission as far as the evaluation of the implementation process of EU rail transport law. As a result, a number of cases were brought by the Commission before the Court of Justice of the European Union against Member States which have, in the opinion of the Commission, infringed their obligations to implement relevant EU provisions. This article discusses the cases examined by the Court of Justice.
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**Poland failed to comply with its obligations deriving from EU law in the rail transport field.**

Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 30 May 2013 in case C-512/10 European Commission/Poland (Tadeusz Skoczny).
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