

Will we face changes of approaches to vertical restraints

(from the Volume Editor)

ARTICLES

Marta Banaś, Mikołaj Piaskowski, **From the judgment in the *Pierre Fabre* case to the decision in the *Guess* case. An attempt to define the status quo regarding restraints related to online distribution while waiting for the results of the review of vertical block exemptions**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. Selective distribution
 1. Introduction
 2. Definition
 3. Quantitative and qualitative selective distribution – features of a system and criteria for legalization
- III. Anticompetitive agreements – agreements prohibited by object and by effect
- IV. Selective distribution in the assessment of the Commission and national competition authorities
 1. *Pierre Fabre* and a total ban on online sales
 2. Report – analysis of online trade
 3. *Coty* – a chance for a new approach
 4. *ASICS* and the most serious restrictions of competition – a return to *Pierre Fabre*?
 5. An approach to restraints in selective distribution after *Coty* and *Asics*
 6. *Guess* as a buckle pinning together previous reflections
- V. Restrictions of competition by object in selective distribution – summary
 1. Introduction
 2. Review of conclusions
 3. Restriction by the object in one country?
- VI. Product and selective distribution – a need for a clear conclusion

Summary: Alongside the popularity growth of selective distribution systems, the evaluation of the admissibility and risks related to restrictions, especially in the Internet, imposed by suppliers onto distributors becomes more of a current issue. This paper analyses the approach to this problem expressed by competition authorities and courts starting from the *Pierre Fabre* case and to the most recent *Guess* case. It also aims to show the key issues that should be considered within the consultation process conducted by the Commission related to Regulation 330/2010 and the related Guidelines.

Key words: *Asics*; *Coty*; *Guess*; selective distribution; restrictions by object; *Pierre Fabre*; platforms; block exemption.

JEL: K21

Wojciech Łyszczarz, **Distribution models of electronic contents on the example of e-books**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. General characteristics of the market
- III. Relevant markets
 1. Defining a relevant market in EU law
 2. Defining a relevant market in the decisional practice of the UOKiK President
 3. Defining a relevant market in decisions of the Department of Justice
- IV. Distribution models
 1. Wholesale model
 2. Agency model
 3. Self-publishing
- V. Anticompetitive agreement of the 'Big Five' publishers and Apple
 1. USA – Department of Justice
 2. EU – European Commission
 3. Canada – Commissioner for Competition
- VI. Threats for competition
 1. Growth and stabilisation of retail prices of e-books
 2. Anticompetitive agreements on a higher distribution level
 3. Most Favourite Nation clause (MFN)
- VII. Summary

Summary: E-books can be distributed via Internet platforms according to two principal models. On one hand, a wholesale model can be applied, where e-books are sold to retailers at a wholesale price for further re-sale, the retail prices of which are freely set by the retailers. On the other hand, an agency model can be used, where the retailer acts as an intermediary in e-book sales and charges a commission from the publisher. Experiences of the US and European competition authorities show that application or change of the distribution model can have negative consequences in the area of competition law. This article presents the question of e-book distribution on the example of Apple and the world's largest publisher of e-books.

Key words: agent; retail price; agency model; distribution models; competition law.

JEL: K21

Fabian Elżanowski, **Online sale restrictions in franchising agreements**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. The economic nature of franchising
- III. Ancillary restraints doctrine as a tool of assessment of franchising agreements
- IV. Online sale restrictions
- V. Summary

Summary: The rules applicable to franchising agreements under EU competition law are the main focus of this article. This publication elaborates on the economic nature of franchise distribution systems and the regulatory framework in place. The application of the ancillary

restraints doctrine to franchising agreements is reviewed. The article outlines recommended changes to EU competition policy with respect to restrictions on online sale in franchise distribution systems.

Key words: franchising; franchising agreements; vertical restraints; online distribution.

JEL: K21

Joanna Kruk-Kubarska, **Distribution agreements in the EU: restrictions of competition in IPR clauses**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. Contractual restrictions of a licence
- III. Possibility of application of competition law towards IPR clauses
 1. Collision Directive. Scope of IPR and the impact of a practice on competition
 2. National law and EU law
 3. Conclusion
- IV. IPR clauses potentially restricting competition
 1. Territorial restrictions of a licence Exclusivity of a licence
 2. Prohibition of use of competitive IPRs
 3. Obligation to non-contest the validity of IPR
 4. Restraints of advertising in search engines
- V. Conclusions

Summary: The paper discusses typical contractual clauses related to IPR that appear in distribution contracts in the European Union, and which might amount to a restriction of competition. The paper covers, among others, the accepted method of analysis of, applicable in parallel, national regulations concerning IPR and EU competition law, as well as the conditions for the exemption from the prohibition of competition restricting agreements. The aim of the paper is to present these issues in the light of the decisional practice of the European Commission and the jurisprudence of EU courts.

Key words: anticompetitive clauses; exclusive nature of IPR; special object of protection by IPR.

JEL: K21

Magdalena Kowalczyk-Szymańska, **Pre-contractual disclosure obligation in a franchise agreement. Comparative legal analysis based on Polish legislation and selected jurisdictions**

Table of contents:

- I. Definition of a franchise agreement under Polish law
- II. Pre-contractual disclosure obligation under Polish law
 1. General principles
 2. Requirements for a foreign franchisor
- III. Different approach to pre-contractual disclosure obligations in selected jurisdictions
 1. General remarks
 2. Franchise in Germany

3. Franchise in the United Kingdom
4. Franchise in the Russian Federation
5. Franchise in Italy
6. Franchise in the United States of America

IV. Summary

Summary: The article focuses on the presentation of the issue of pre-contractual disclosure obligation in franchisor – franchisee relations and distribution systems based on a franchise agreement. The author presents not only the approach to this subject matter under Polish law, but also the regulations in force in other jurisdictions, both in Europe and worldwide. The analysis of different national legislation, sometimes also at the domestic level (United States of America), makes it possible to assess which of the models seems to be the most suitable for the principle of fair play in business, balancing the interests of both parties of the franchise relationship.

Key words: franchise agreement; pre-contractual disclosure; franchise as a distribution system; foreign franchisor.

JEL: K12; K21

Olga Szejnert-Roszak, **Termination of a distribution agreement**

Table of contents:

- I. Introductory remarks
- II. Distribution contract and methods to terminate it. Lack of conditions regarding ‘form’
- III. Terminating a distribution contract by mutual consent
- IV. Terminating a distribution contract by notice, without providing a reason
 1. Introductory remark
 2. Poland
 3. European Union
 4. Belgium
 5. The Netherlands
 6. Austria
 7. Germany
 8. Factors determining the notice period – an attempt of summing up
- V. Executing a distribution contract during a notice period
- VI. Contractual exclusion of earlier termination of a time-limited distribution contract
- VII. Terminating a distribution contract before the expiry of the notice period
 1. Admissibility of contractual clauses
 2. Draft Common Frame of Reference (DCFR) rule – mandatory rule
 3. Regulations of the Civil Code
- VIII. Summary

Summary: The subject of the article is to analyze the issue of the possibility and mode of termination of a distribution agreement concluded under Polish law, which is an unnamed contract in the meaning of Polish civil law. Distribution agreements, often concluded in an informal manner, do not provide for reasons for their termination, either by notice or immediately. However, their termination

is possible, although it is disputable in Polish literature, for example, whether a distribution contract concluded for an definite term can be terminated upon notice before the expiry of the period for which it was concluded, and whether the general provisions of the Polish Civil Code provide for the possibility of immediate termination of a distribution contract, and in particular – whether it is possible to withdraw from such a contract.

The article contains references to European jurisdictions regarding reasonable termination notice of a distribution agreement and the grounds on the basis of which such notice should be given. It also notes the impact of the *Draft Common Frame of Reference* on shaping the rights and obligations of the parties to a distribution agreement.

Key words: distribution agreement; distribution contract; termination of the distribution agreement; reasonable termination notice.

JEL: K12; K21

Eliza Iwaniszyn, **Joint selling groups as a potential counterweight of purchasing power of joint purchasing groups**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. Joint selling and joint purchasing groups – general concepts, national, EU and American perspective
 1. Joint purchasing groups
 2. Joint selling groups
- III. Areas of analysis on the admissibility of establishing joint selling groups
 1. Features of a relevant market
 2. Features of an agreement
 3. Sellers' behaviours
- IV. Circumstances in which establishing joint selling agreements should be considered
- V. Concluding remarks

Summary: Joint selling agreements (alliances) remain one of the least popular topics in antitrust literature. As a rule, they are acceptable under current regulations, however their practical application is subject to numerous restrictions and concerns. This article is an attempt to take up on a rather difficult legal subject matter which, in the era of progressive consolidation of entrepreneurs, may have considerable practical significance. The article includes, first of all, a quick look at the regulation of purchasing and sales groups from the United States, EU and Polish perspective. Secondly, it presents the areas of the largest antitrust risks and opportunities for potential circumstances in which it is worth undertaking the in-depth analysis of the conclusion of joint selling agreements.

Key words: joint selling agreements; strategic alliances; purchasing groups; horizontal agreements; joint selling; joint distribution.

JEL: K21

CASE LAW REVIEWS

Jan Polański, **On the analytical framework of ‘by object’ infringements. A comment on the 30 August 2018 ruling of the Court of Appeals in Warsaw, case VII AGa 1114/18 (Ski Team)**

Table of contents:

- I. Introduction
- II. Case context
- III. The ruling of the Court of Appeals
- IV. The analytical framework established by the Court of Appeals
- V. The analytical framework under EU law
 1. The Court of Appeals wrongly assumes that market definition is indispensable to assess the economic context of the case
 2. The Court of Appeals confuses the concept of ‘restriction by object’ with the concept of ‘appreciability’
 3. The Court of Appeals ‘mingles’ analytical frameworks established to deal with different types of infringements
- VI. Law and risk, rules and uncertainty

Summary: The article constitutes a critical comment on a ruling delivered by the Court of Appeals in Warsaw. In the ruling, the court disagreed with the position presented by the Polish Competition Authority according to which setting resale prices (RPM) in the case investigated by the Polish NCA amounted to an infringement ‘by object’ under Polish law. In its judgement, the Court of Appeals referred to EU case law and attempted to re-create the analytical framework used by EU courts to establish ‘by object’ infringements. The analysis conducted by the Court of Appeals may, however, raise doubts in the context of its actual conformity with EU law.

Key words: RPM; resale price; vertical agreements; anticompetitive object; anticompetitive effect.

JEL: K21

Antonina Falandysz-Zięcik, **Bid rigging – a practical review of risks. Judgment of the Court of Competition and Consumers Protection of 8 November 2018, ref. XVII AmA 26/16**

Anna Źochowska-Sychowicz, **Moment of initiation of antitrust proceeding – the moment of issuing or the moment of delivering a statement on initiating the proceeding? Judgment of the Court of Competition and Consumers Protection of 6 June 2018, ref. XVII AmA 48/15**

BOOK REVIEW

Frank Wijckmans, Filip Tuytschaever, Agata Jurkowska-Gomułka, Małgorzata Modzelewska de Raad, Olga Szejnert-Roszak, **Porozumienia dystrybucyjne w prawie konkurencji. Unia Europejska-Polska [Distribution agreements in competition law. European Union-Poland], Warszawa 2018 (Agata Jurkowska-Gomułka)**

REPORTS

VII Convegno Antitrust di Trento, Trident (Italy), 11–13 April 2019 (Grzegorz Materna)

Seminar ‘Aiding and abetting as a special form of participation in antitrust agreements – in light of EU and Polish law’, INP PAN, Warsaw, 3 April 2019 (Artur Szmigielski)

Contents, Summaries and Key Words

i
K
A
R