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Summary: Geo-blocking can be defined as a digital instrument aimed at segmenting the purchase of applications online, on the basis of the nationality, place of residence or place of establishment of customers. The scope of the article is – first – to frame the geo-blocking phenomenon within the activity of the European Commission – starting from the digital single market strategy and then focusing on the data collected within the sector inquiry into e-commerce. Second, the article will discuss Regulation (EU) 2018/302, which entered into force last 22 March 2018 and applies from 3 December 2018. In particular, the article deals with the geo-blocking ban introduced by Regulation 2018/302 and discusses its weaknesses.
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Summary: The institution of ‘reservations’ towards a concentration of entrepreneurs exists in the Polish law since 18 January 2015, it was introduced into the 2007 Act on the protection of competition and consumers by the Amending Act of 10 June 2014. There are two different positions of doctrine concerning the relation of Polish ‘reservations’ and the EU ‘Statement of Objection’ (SO) – list of objections presented in proceedings conducted by the European Commission with respect to concentrations with community dimension. Statements of objections are regulated in Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the control of concentrations. The paper argues
that they are not identical institutions, since they perform different roles in merger proceedings and are applied at different stages of these proceedings. Reservations were repeatedly filed by the President of UOKiK towards concentrations that could lead to the creation of a dominant position on the market by the entrepreneur which applied for clearance.
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**Summary:** This study is dedicated to fines imposed by the Polish competition authority (President of UOKiK) on the basis of Articles 106 and 106a of the Competition and Consumer Protection Act of 16 February 2007 in the context of general provisions regarding fines introduced by the 2017 amendment to the Administrative Procedure Code. The introduction presents the scope of the amendment of the Code and its relation to the provisions concerning proceedings before the Polish competition authority and to the imposition of fines by the President of UOKiK. The second part briefly describes positions of the judiciary and doctrine as to the legal nature of anti-trust fines. Part three presents the contents of the new section IVa of the Administrative Procedure Code; part four includes an assessment of the scope of the application of these provisions to anti-trust fines imposed on the basis of the Competition and Consumer Protection Act of 2007. In the summary, the paper comments on the narrow scope of the application of the general provisions of the Code to fines and the impact of the general provisions on the assessment of the legal nature of anti-trust fines.  
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V. Summary
Summary: The aim of the article is to illustrate the evolution of the conditions for the provision of tourist services from the point of view of the interests of consumers of these services. The author distinguishes three trends. First is the general liberalization tendency. The second is the reverse process related to financial security in case of insolvency of tour operators and travel brokers, and, since 1 July 2018, also tour operators and traders facilitating linked travel arrangements. The third trend is the modification of the scope of some other regulations (partial liberalisation, partial regulation) for businesses operating as tour operators and the abolition of regulations governing the activities of travel brokers and the introduction of protection in the case of linked travel arrangements. The analysis covers primarily the provisions of the Act of 29 August 1997 on Tourist Services (from 1 July 2019, the Act on Hotel Services and Services of Tour Guides and Tour Managers) and the provisions of the Act of 24 November 2017 on Tourist Events and Linked Travel Arrangements, the provisions of which partially replaced the provisions of the Act on Tourist Services. Contrary to the, frequently encountered in literature, postulates indicating the need to tighten regulations within the scope of the requirements in question, the article indicates that important public interest does not usually speak in favour of it. In the near future, however, the legislator should review the current regulations, which justifies the presentation of this article.
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Łukasz Zimończyk, Character and control over decisions issued by the Patent Office in a contradictory proceeding
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Summary: In this paper, the author presents the characteristics of contradictory proceedings conducted by the Polish Patent Office. The paper covers initial findings, findings issued in the course of the proceedings, as well as essential findings, in particular decisions issued by the Patent Office. Noted is also the issue of judicial-administrative control of the decisions and findings issued in the course of the contradictory proceeding. The analysed issues have so far not been considered by legal literature, even though the importance of this type of proceeding is increasing, also in the context of the growing number of research projects and the attempt to harmonise patent law in Europe.
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Anna Laszczyk, When an excessive price is unfair – analysis in the light of the CJEU preliminary ruling in AKAA/LAA case dated 14 September 2017
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Summary: This article critically assesses the CJEU preliminary ruling concerning the abuse of a dominant position by a copyright collecting society consisting of charging excessively high rates for licences for the public performance of musical works. The Latvian Supreme Court sent judicial questions to the CJEU concerning mostly the methods of determining the excessiveness of the prices, the difference between actually applied price and the benchmark price, indicating the existence of an abuse, and the chances for a dominant undertaking to offer an objective justification. The CJEU reaffirmed the appropriateness of applying other than price-cost methods, including comparison methods. The CJEU indicated that the comparison of prices should be in accordance with objective, appropriate and verifiable criteria. As regards the difference between prices, the CJEU explained that it should be significant and persistent.

In the opinion of the author, the CJEU ruling lacks practical guidance on the assessment of excessive prices. At the same time, the CJEU leaves national courts and competition authorities some room to manoeuvre in their assessment. The opinion by AG Wahl is more informative and indicative in this regard. As a consequence, his attempt to present in a comprehensive manner all relevant criteria for the assessment of the excessiveness of prices should be assessed positively.
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